

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

0/2003				
UI 2003	Aman Safaei	W1200-00042	W1200-00042 5380	
01/18/2006		EXAMINER		
P		LOFTIS, JOHN	NA RONEE	
T		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196		3623		
,	P T	P T	LOFTIS, JOHN T	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/660,307	SAFAEI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Johnna R. Loftis	3623			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johnna R. Loftis	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		·	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content of the content	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dark	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in s	11 OF N 41.57(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	· ———	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17,19-35 and 37-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No id sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		lo(s)	
13. Other: <i>SUSAM</i>	Ma Dita		
13. Other:  SUSANNA M. PRIMARY EXAM	DIAZ JUSANNA MINER PHUMANUEX		

AU3623

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Baker reference does not teach an existing non-lottery retailer is indicated on the map display. However, Baker states that mapping software enables users to identify future opportunities for increased sales and profitability. The software uses sales data by country, state, county, city and even by specific street and is used is all facets of business. One example in Baker explains that based on customer demographic data, financial institutions can determine what banking products to develop for specific market group, i.e., if the financial institution is in an area where most customers are approaching retirement age, the institution would benefit by introducing new retirement plans and catch-up plans to assist their customers in planning for retirement. Basically, the mapping software help the banks introduct new products into their line based on demographic data, so inherently if the decision is being made to introduce a new product into the bank, the mapping software is displaying a bank(s) that does not already carry the product.